

## REMARKS

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action dated January 13, 2006. Claims 1-24 and 31 are presented for examination, of which Claims 1, 6, 11, 12, 16, 19, 20, 22 and 24 are in independent form. Claims 25-30 have been withdrawn. No change in scope is either intended or believed effected by at least these latter changes. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Initially, Applicants note that on the summary page of the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner marked box 12, acknowledging receipt of Applicants' claim for foreign priority, but did not explicitly acknowledge receipt of the required certified copy of the priority document. In fact, that certified copy was filed on January 25, 2002, and Applicants note that that filing is reflected in PAIR (see the attached two pages printed out from PAIR). Accordingly, Applicants request that the Examiner take whatever action (if any) is needed to ensure that the foreign-priority information is printed on the face of any patent that may issue from this application.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1-24 were rejected solely under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,281,874 (Sivan). Applicants have carefully studied the Office Action and the prior art, but find themselves entirely unable to agree with the rejection, for the following reasons.

As explained in the specification, one problem that has been encountered in the quickly advancing field of digital radiology is the relatively low compression rates that are sometimes available, and that, in combination with a low-throughput (low-bandwidth) element in a network, e.g., a computer through which the data must pass, serious bottlenecks can occur. The

present invention provides various approaches to solving this problem. <sup>1</sup>

Independent Claim 1, for example, is directed to an information processing apparatus for processing a data stream inputted via a network. The apparatus of Claim 1 comprises an input unit adapted to input a data stream via a network, and an analysis unit adapted to analyze the data stream. A generation unit, in accordance with an analysis result made by the analysis unit, interrupts input of the data stream performed by the input unit, to generate an interrupted stream from the data stream, and an interrupted-stream storage unit stores the interrupted stream generated by the generation unit. Also, according to Claim 1, in the analysis performed by the analysis unit, at least one of a compression ratio, a signal-to-noise ratio, an amount of data, and a number of layers of the data stream is employed as an analysis condition.

*Sivan* relates to a method and system for downloading graphic images on the Internet from a server which stores at least one high resolution graphic image file of a reference image and a corresponding low resolution graphic image file. The low resolution file is compressed and downloaded through the Internet to a client, where it is decompressed and displayed on a display device. A portion of the low resolution reference image displayed at the client is selected for zooming, and the client calculates size data specifying the portion. The size data is uploaded to the server, and the selected portion of the high resolution graphic image file is extracted from the server and downloaded to the client. If the selected portion does not have the same aspect ratio as the display device, a zoom ratio is calculated so that one edge of the selected portion completely fills the corresponding edge of the display device. If the selected portion is so small that even after zooming to the highest available resolution it does not fill the display device, the selected portion is zoomed so as to leave a peripheral sub-portion of the reference

---

<sup>1/</sup> It is of course to be understood that the claim scope is not limited by the details of this or any other particular embodiment that may be referred to.

image surrounding the zoomed selected portion.

Applicants have found nothing in *Sivan*, however, that would teach or suggest “a generation unit adapted to, in accordance with an analysis result made by the analysis unit, interrupt input of the data stream performed by the input unit and generate an interrupted stream from the data stream” or “an interrupted-stream storage unit adapted to store the interrupted stream generated by the generation unit, wherein in said analysis, at least one of a compression ratio, a signal-to-noise ratio, an amount of data, and a number of layers of said data stream is employed as an analysis condition,” as recited in Claim 1.

For at least these reasons, Applicants submit that Claim 1 is allowable over *Sivan*.

Each of the other independent apparatus or system claims includes, at the least, recitations of a generating unit like that recited in Claim 1, and each is therefore also believed to be allowable over *Sivan* for at least that reason. Each of the other independent claims is, respectively, either a method or a computer memory medium claim corresponding to one or another of the apparatus or system claims, and thus also are believed to be patentable over *Sivan*.

A review of the other art of record has failed to reveal anything which, in Applicants' opinion, would remedy the deficiencies of the art discussed above, as a reference against the independent claims herein. Those claims are therefore believed patentable over the art of record.

The other claims in this application are each dependent from one or another of the independent claims discussed above and are therefore believed patentable for the same reasons.

For example, Applicants particularly note newly added Claim 31, which depends from Claim 6, and further recites that the input data is non-redundant hierarchy encoded

data. This additional feature is also believed not to be taught or suggested by anything found or pointed out in the art of record. This non-redundant hierarchy encoded data corresponds, for example, to JPEG2000 encoded data (compression ratios of  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{10}$ , etc.) shown in unit 514 of Fig. 14. The lowest-quality image can be reproduced by decoding the compression ratio of  $\frac{1}{2}$ , a middling-quality image can be reproduced by decoding the compression ratios of  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{10}$ , and a high-quality image can be reproduced by decoding the compression ratios of  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{10}$  and the others. In the foregoing method which decodes the non-redundant hierarchy encoded data, to generate an interrupted-stream can eliminate unnecessary communication of the encoded data.

In contrast, the *Sivan* system uses a low-resolution image file for representing a low-resolution image and a high-resolution image file for representing a high-resolution image. That is, *Sivan* contemplates only using redundant hierarchy encoded data, and does not teach or suggest the feature of Claim 31.

In any event, since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, the individual consideration or reconsideration, as the case may be, of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and early passage to issue of the present application.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jennifer A. Reda/  
Jennifer A. Reda  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No.: 57,840

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3801  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY\_MAIN 584521v1



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

[Home](#) | [Site Index](#) | [Search](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Glossary](#) | [Guides](#) | [Contacts](#) | [eBusiness](#) | [eBiz Alerts](#) | [News](#) | [Help](#)
[Portal Home](#) | [Patents](#) | [Trademarks](#) | [Sign-Off Authenticated Session](#)

## Patent eBusiness

- [+ Electronic Filing](#)
- [+ Patent Application Information \(PAIR\)](#)
- [+ Patent Ownership](#)
- [+ Fees](#)
- [+ Supplemental Resources & Support](#)

## Patent Information

[Patent Guidance and General Info](#)

- [+ Codes, Rules & Manuals](#)
- [+ Employee & Office Directories](#)
- [+ Resources & Public Notices](#)

## Patent Searches

[Patent Official Gazette](#)

- [+ Search Patents & Applications](#)
- [+ Search Biological Sequences](#)
- [+ Copies, Products & Services](#)

## Other

- [Copyrights](#)
- [Trademarks](#)
- [Policy & Law](#)
- [Reports](#)

## Secured Patent Application Information Retrieval

[Order Certified Application As Filed](#)

10/000,485

Information processing apparatus, meth  
processing system, and computer-reada

Select New Case	Application Data	Transaction History	Image File Wrapper	Foreign Priority	Publish Document
--------------------	---------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	---------------------

## Transaction History

Date	Transaction Description
07-24-2006	Mail Notice of Informal or Non-Responsive Amend
06-02-2006	Date Forwarded to Examiner
05-18-2006	Informal or Non-Responsive Amendment after Exa
05-18-2006	Response after Non-Final Action
05-18-2006	Request for Extension of Time - Granted
01-13-2006	Mail Non-Final Rejection
01-09-2006	Non-Final Rejection
01-25-2002	Information Disclosure Statement considered
11-21-2005	Date Forwarded to Examiner
11-07-2005	Response to Election / Restriction Filed
10-11-2005	Mail Restriction Requirement
10-03-2005	Requirement for Restriction / Election
09-01-2005	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
02-17-2005	IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete
01-25-2002	Reference capture on IDS
01-06-2005	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
07-21-2003	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
08-25-2002	Receipt of all Acknowledgement Letters
01-25-2002	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
01-25-2002	Request for Foreign Priority (Priority Papers May E
06-07-2002	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
03-01-2002	Application Dispatched from OIPE
02-28-2002	Application Is Now Complete
02-13-2002	Additional Application Filing Fees
02-13-2002	A statement by one or more inventors satisfying t Applic
01-07-2002	Referred by L&R for Third-Level Security Review. ,
01-30-2002	Notice Mailed--Application Incomplete--Filing Date
01-03-2002	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review
12-11-2001	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review
12-04-2001	Initial Exam Team nn

*If you need help:*

- *Call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197 questions about Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR).*
- *Send general questions about USPTO programs to the [USPTO Help Desk](#).*
- *If you experience technical difficulties or problems with this site, contact the [Patent Electronic Business Support](#) or call 1 800-786-9199.*

You can suggest USPTO webpages or material you would like featured on this section by E-mail to the [webmaster@uspto.gov](mailto:webmaster@uspto.gov). Your suggestions will be considered and may lead to other improvements on this site.

---

[Home](#) | [Site Index](#) | [Search](#) | [eBusiness](#) | [Help](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)